The paper deals with the propagation of shear horizontal (SH) waves in an inhomogeneous elastic half-space composed of a layer whose shear modulus and mass density have a power dependence on the distance from the lower plane and the periodically stratified half-space. The equation which relates the wave speed to the wave-number and functions of the shear modulus and mass density is derived. The wave velocity is analyzed numerically. Especially, the influence of mechanical properties of the coating layer and the stratified foundation on the wave velocity is presented in the form of figures.
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1. Introduction

The phenomena of wave propagations through the Earth is useful in investigating the internal Earth structure, and it can be helpful in explorations of various materials beneath the Earth’s surface. It is well known that the Earth is not perfectly homogeneous and some forms of inhomogeneity exist. Many rocks and soils are stratified and clearly piece-wise homogeneous. Some layers are characterized by mechanical parameters with continuous changing in spatial directions (called as functionally graded materials). The problems of modeling of wave propagations in inhomogeneous elastic bodies play a very important role in applied geophysics civil and mechanical engineering (space structures, fusion reactors). The list of references connected with the problems of wave propagations in inhomogeneous elastic bodies is rather very large (for instance monographs by Birykov et al. (1995), Brekhovskikh (1960), Kennet (1983), Nayfeh (1995); papers by Achenbach and Balogun (2010), Alenitsyn (1964), Alshits and Maugin (2005), Cerveny et al. (1982), Destrade (2007), Shuvalov et al. (2008), Vrettos (1990)). Achenbach and Balogun (2010) dealt with the propagation of anti-plane shear waves in an elastic half-space whose shear modulus and mass density had an arbitrary dependence on the distance from the boundary plane. Alenitsyn (1964) considered the problem of Rayleigh waves in a nonhomogeneous elastic slab. Alshits and Maugin (2005) developed a theory for the elastic wave propagation in an arbitrary layered plane (piece-wise homogenous or continuously inhomogeneous). The description was based on the transfer matrix approach. The Gaussian beam method of the solution of wave propagation problems in inhomogeneous bodies was applied by Cerveny et al. (1982). The seismic Rayleigh waves in an orthotropic elastic half-space with an exponentially graded properties were considered by Destrade (2007). Shuvalov et al. (2008) presented some analysis of the problem of shear horizontal waves in transversely inhomogeneous plates. Surface harmonic vibrations of soil deposits with variable shear modulus were analysed by Vrettos (1990).
Gupta (1972). The authors assumed that the outer layer was fixed to an isotropic homogeneous elastic half-space or to the rigid substrate.

The present paper is concerned with the case of a shear horizontal (SH) wave in an inhomogeneous elastic layer which is assumed to be ideally fixed to a periodically stratified elastic half-space, and the upper boundary plane is free of loadings. The considered layer is characterized by the shear modulus and mass density in the form of power functions of the distance from the lower boundary plane. The substrate is assumed to be composed of periodically repeated two-layered laminae parallel to the boundary plane. Each component of the lamina is a homogeneous and isotropic body. The assumptions connected with the ideal bonding of the components on interfaces lead to a complicated boundary value problem within the framework of the classical theory of elasticity. For this reason, the classic idea is the use of the approximate procedure to replace the heterogeneous medium by an equivalent homogenized model, which gives the average behavior at the macroscopic scale. One of them is the homogenized model with microlocal parameters presented by Matysiak and Woźniak (1987, 1988). This model is derived by using the methods of the nonstandard analysis and taking into account the effects due to the periodic structure of the body. The governing equations of the model are formulated in terms of the unknown macro-displacements and certain extra unknowns being referred to as microlocal parameters. They are described by a relatively simple form of the equations satisfying the conditions of perfect interfacial bonding of constituents. The homogenized model has been successfully applied to a series of problems of the linear elasticity and thermoelasticity (problems of cracks, cavities, inclusions, contact problems, wave propagations), which it was partially resumed in (Matysiak, 1996; Woźniak and Woźniak, 1995). It should be underlined that the homogenization approach has been noticed to produce good physical results, at the same time being rather simple in mathematical aspects (Kulchytsky-Zhyhailo and Kołodziejczyk, 2007; Kulchytsky-Zhyhailo and Matysiak, 2005, 2006; Kulchytsky-Zhyhailo et al., 2006). The wave problems in a periodically layered elastic half-space were investigated by Bielski and Matysiak (1992), Matysiak et al. (2009). The same dependence of the shear modulus is taken into account in many papers (see for instance Calladine and Greenwood, 1978; Wang et al., 2003). The same dependence of the shear modulus of the coating layer is considered in the present paper. The distributions of displacements and stresses in an inhomogeneous incompressible elastic half-space caused by line and point loads are considered in (Cerveny et al., 1982). The propagation of surface waves in a linear-elastic, isotropic, compressible half-space with constant mass density and Poisson’s ratio and shear modulus varying with depth is considered in (Vrettos, 1990). The useful list of dependence forms for elastic modulus is presented by Wang et al. (2003).

2. Formulation and solution of the problem

Consider the problem of shear waves propagation in an elastic nonhomogeneous layer and periodically layered half-space. Let \((x_1, x_2, x_3)\) denote the Cartesian coordinate system such that the layer occupies the region \(x_1 \in \mathbb{R}, 0 \leq x_2 \leq H, x_3 \in \mathbb{R}\), where \(H > 0\) is constant thickness of the FGM body, Fig. 1.

Let the upper boundary plane \(x_2 = H\) be free of loadings, and the layer is ideally fixed to the periodically two-layered half-space in the plane \(x_2 = 0\). Let the stratified half-space be composed of periodically repeated fundamental laminae with thickness \(\delta\), which include two homogeneous isotropic sub-layers denoted by 1 and 2 with thicknesses \(\delta_j, j = 1, 2\), and \(\delta = \delta_1 + \delta_2\). Let \(\mu_j, \rho_j, j = 1, 2\) be the shear modulus and mass densities of the subsequent constituents of the composite half-space. Herein and in the sequel, all quantities (material components, stresses) pertaining to sub-layer 1 and 2 will be labeled by the index \(j\) taking values 1 and 2, respectively. The
where the body forces are omitted. From equations (2.2) and (2.3), it follows that

\[
\frac{\partial^2 u_3}{\partial x_1^2} + \frac{\partial^2 u_3}{\partial x_2^2} = \rho_0 (1 + \alpha x_2)^p \frac{\partial^2 u_3}{\partial t^2} \quad x_1 \in R \quad 0 < x_2 < H
\]  

(2.3)

To determine the displacement and stresses in the periodically layered half-space \( x_2 < 0 \), the homogenized model with microlocal parameters (Bielski and Matysiak, 1992; Kulchytsky-Zhyhalo and Kołodziejczyk, 2007; Kulchytsky-Zhyhalo and Matysiak, 2005, 2006; Kulchytsky-Zhyhalo et al., 2006; Matysiak et al., 2009; Matysiak and Woźniak, 1987, 1988) is applied. Here only a brief outline of the governing equations for the case of anti-plane state of strain will be presented. The homogenized procedure presented by Matysiak and Woźniak (1987, 1988) is based on theorems of the nonstandard analysis and some physical assumptions, which leads, in the case of anti-plane state of strain, to the following approximations

\[
\frac{\partial u_3(x_1, x_2, t)}{\partial x_1} \approx \frac{\partial w_3(x_1, x_2, t)}{\partial x_1} \quad \frac{\partial u_3(x_1, x_2, t)}{\partial t} \approx \frac{\partial w_3(x_1, x_2, t)}{\partial t} \quad \frac{\partial u_3(x_1, x_2, t)}{\partial x_2} \approx \frac{\partial w_3(x_1, x_2, t)}{\partial x_2} + h'(x_2)q_3(x_1, x_2, t)
\]

(2.5)

where \( w_3, q_3 \) are unknowns called macro-displacement and microlocal parameters, respectively. The function \( h \) (called the shape function) is given in the form

\[
h(x_2) = \begin{cases} 
  x_2 - \frac{1}{2} \delta_1 & \text{for } 0 \leq x_2 \leq \delta_1 \\
  \eta x_2 - \frac{1}{2} \frac{\delta_1}{1 - \eta} + \frac{\delta_1}{1 - \eta} & \text{for } \delta_1 \leq x_2 \leq \delta
\end{cases}
\]

(2.6)
and
\[ \eta = \frac{\delta_1}{\delta} \]  \hspace{1cm} (2.7)

Since \(|h(x_2)| < \delta\) for every \(x_2 \in \mathbb{R}\), then for small \(\delta\) the terms with \(h\) in equations (2.5) are small and are neglected. However, the derivative \(h'\) is not small and the terms involving \(h'\) cannot be neglected. The form of the shape function \(h\) given in (2.6) secures the fulfillment of the conditions of ideal bonding on the composite interfaces. The homogenized model presented by Matysiak and Woźniak (1987, 1988) in the case of anti-plane state of strain leads to the following equations for the unknowns \(w_3\) and \(q_3\)

\[
\tilde{\mu} \left( \frac{\partial^2 w_3}{\partial x_1^2} + \frac{\partial^2 w_3}{\partial x_2^2} \right) + [\mu] \frac{\partial q_3}{\partial x_2} = \tilde{\rho} \frac{\partial^2 w_3}{\partial t^2} \hspace{1cm} \tilde{\mu} q_3 + [\mu] \frac{\partial w_3}{\partial x_2} = 0 \]  \hspace{1cm} (2.8)

where
\[ \tilde{\rho} = \eta \rho_1 + (1 - \eta) \rho_2 \hspace{1cm} \tilde{\mu} = \eta \mu_1 + (1 - \eta) \mu_2 \]
\[ [\mu] = \eta (\mu_1 - \mu_2) \hspace{1cm} \tilde{\mu} = \eta \mu_1 + \frac{\eta^2 \mu_2}{1 - \eta} \]  \hspace{1cm} (2.9)

The non-zero stress components \(\sigma^{(j)}_{13}, \sigma^{(j)}_{23}, j = 1, 2\) in the layer of \(j\)-th kind are expressed in the form

\[
\sigma^{(j)}_{13} = \mu_j \frac{\partial w_3}{\partial x_1} \hspace{1cm} \sigma^{(j)}_{23} = \mu_j \left( \frac{\partial w_3}{\partial x_2} + \eta h'(x_2) q_3 \right) \]  \hspace{1cm} (2.10)

Eliminating the microlocal parameter \(q_3\) from (2.8) \(_1\) (2.10) by using (2.8) \(_2\), leads to the equations

\[
\tilde{\mu} \frac{\partial^2 w_3}{\partial x_1^2} + C \frac{\partial^2 w_3}{\partial x_2^2} = \tilde{\rho} \frac{\partial^2 w_3}{\partial t^2} \]  \hspace{1cm} (2.11)

and

\[
\sigma^{(j)}_{13} = \mu_j \frac{\partial w_3}{\partial x_1} \hspace{1cm} \sigma^{(j)}_{23} = C \frac{\partial w_3}{\partial x_2} \hspace{1cm} j = 1, 2 \]  \hspace{1cm} (2.12)

where
\[ C = \tilde{\mu} - \frac{[\mu]^2}{\tilde{\mu}} = \frac{\mu_1 \mu_2}{(1 - \eta) \mu_1 + \eta \mu_2} > 0 \]  \hspace{1cm} (2.13)

The following boundary conditions are taken into consideration:
a) on the upper boundary of the FGM layer
\[
\sigma_{23}(x_1, H, t) = 0 \hspace{1cm} x_1 \in \mathbb{R} \hspace{1cm} t \in \mathbb{R} \]  \hspace{1cm} (2.14)
b) on the interface \(x_2 = 0\) between the FGM layer and the periodically stratified half-space
\[
u_3(x_1, 0^+, t) = w_3(x_1, 0^-, t) \hspace{1cm} \sigma_{23}(x_1, 0^+, t) = \sigma^{(1)}_{23}(x_1, 0^-, t) \]  \hspace{1cm} (2.15)
c) the regularity condition at infinity
\[ \lim_{x_2 \to -\infty} w_3(x_1, x_2, t) = 0 \]  \hspace{1cm} (2.16)
Let us consider a SH wave solution of the form

\[ u_3(x_1, x_2, t) = U_3(x_2)e^{ik(x_1 - ct)} \]
\[ w_3(x_1, x_2, t) = W_3(x_2)e^{ik(x_1 - ct)} \]  
(2.17)

where \( i = \sqrt{-1} \), \( U_3 \) and \( W_3 \) are unknown amplitudes of displacement in the outer layer and the periodically layered half-space, respectively, and \( k \) and \( c \) are the wave number and the phase velocity, respectively. By using equations (2.4) and (2.11) and (2.17), an ordinary differential equation are obtained

\[
\frac{d^2 U_3(x_2)}{dx_2^2} + \frac{\alpha p}{1 + \alpha x_2} \frac{dU_3(x_2)}{dx_2} + k^2 \left( \frac{c_0^2}{c_0^2} - 1 \right) U_3(x_2) = 0 \\
0 < x_2 < H 
\]  
(2.18)

and

\[
\frac{d^2 W_3(x_2)}{dx_2^2} + \frac{k^2}{C} (\tilde{\mu} - \tilde{\rho}) W_3(x_2) = 0 \\
x_2 < 0 
\]  
(2.19)

where

\[ c_0^2 = \frac{\mu_0}{\rho_0} \]  
(2.20)

The ordinary differential equation of the second order with variable coefficients (2.18) belongs to well-known type (Kamke, 1976, p. 401). Its general solution has the form

\[ U_3(x_2) = (1 + \alpha x_2) \frac{1}{2} \left[ A_1 J_{1 - p/2} \left( q \frac{1}{\alpha} + x_2 \right) + A_2 Y_{1 - p/2} \left( q \frac{1}{\alpha} + x_2 \right) \right] \\
0 < x_2 < H 
\]  
(2.21)

where

\[ q^2 = k^2 \left( \frac{c_0^2}{c_0^2} - 1 \right) \]  
(2.22)

on the assumption that \( c > c_0 \), and \( A_1 \), \( A_2 \) are unknown constants, which should be determined from boundary conditions (2.5), and \( J_{1-p/2}(\cdot) \), \( Y_{1-p/2}(\cdot) \) are Bessel functions. Equations (2.19) and (2.17) with condition (2.16) lead to the following solution

\[ W_3(x_2) = A_3 \exp(\beta x_2) \\
x_2 < 0 \quad \beta^2 = \frac{k^2 \tilde{\mu}}{C} \left( 1 - \frac{\tilde{\rho}}{\tilde{\nu}} \right) \quad \tilde{\nu} = \frac{\tilde{\nu}}{\tilde{\rho}} \]  
(2.23)

on the assumption that \( c < \tilde{\nu} \) and \( A_3 \) is an unknown constant. The constant \( A_1 \), \( A_2 \), \( A_3 \) should be calculated from boundary conditions (2.14) and (2.15).

The further analysis needs to take into consideration two cases: \( p \leq 1 \) and \( p > 1 \).

**Case 1**

Consider that

\[ p \leq 1 \quad \text{so} \quad |1 - p| = 1 - p \]  
(2.24)

To determine the stress component \( \sigma_{23} \), the following differential relations for the Bessel functions should be applied (Lebiediev, 1957)

\[ \frac{dz^\nu J_\nu(z)}{dz} = z^\nu J_{\nu-1}(z) \]
\[ \frac{dz^\nu Y_\nu(z)}{dz} = z^\nu Y_{\nu-1}(z) \]  
(2.25)
Bearing in mind equations (2.2), (2.17), (2.21) and (2.24), it follows that the stress component \( \sigma_{23} \) is expressed in the form

\[
\sigma_{23}(x_1, x_2, t) = q \mu_0 (1 + \alpha x_2)^{1/2} \left[ A_1 J_{-1/2} \left( q \left( \frac{1}{\alpha} + x_2 \right) \right) + A_2 Y_{-1/2} \left( q \left( \frac{1}{\alpha} + x_2 \right) \right) \right] e^{i k (x_1 - c t)}
\]

(2.26)

where \( 0 < x_2 < H \).

From boundary condition (2.14) and conditions of continuity (2.15) as well as equations (2.26), (2.17), (2.23), (2.12), (2.21), the following algebraic equations for the unknowns \( A_1, A_2, A_3 \) are obtained

\[
A_1 J_{-1/2} \left( q \left( \frac{1}{\alpha} + H \right) \right) + A_2 Y_{-1/2} \left( q \left( \frac{1}{\alpha} + H \right) \right) = 0
\]

(2.27)

\[
A_1 \mu_0 q \left[ A_1 J_{-1/2} \left( \frac{q}{\alpha} \right) + A_2 Y_{-1/2} \left( \frac{q}{\alpha} \right) \right] + A_2 \mu_0 q Y_{-1/2} \left( \frac{q}{\alpha} \right) = C \beta A_3
\]

Eliminating \( A_3 \) from the system of equations (2.27), it follows that

\[
A_1 J_{-1/2} \left( q \left( \frac{1}{\alpha} + H \right) \right) + A_2 Y_{-1/2} \left( q \left( \frac{1}{\alpha} + H \right) \right) = 0
\]

(2.28)

\[
A_1 \left[ \mu_0 q J_{-1/2} \left( \frac{q}{\alpha} \right) - C \beta J_{1/2} \left( \frac{q}{\alpha} \right) \right] + A_2 \left[ \mu_0 q Y_{1/2} \left( \frac{q}{\alpha} \right) - C \beta Y_{1/2} \left( \frac{q}{\alpha} \right) \right] = 0
\]

The system of algebraic equations (2.28) has a non-zero solution under the following condition

\[
\left. J_{-1/2} \left( q \left( \frac{1}{\alpha} + H \right) \right) \right| \left[ \mu_0 q Y_{1/2} \left( \frac{q}{\alpha} \right) - C \beta Y_{1/2} \left( \frac{q}{\alpha} \right) \right]
\]

\[
- Y_{-1/2} \left( q \left( \frac{1}{\alpha} + H \right) \right) \left[ \mu_0 q J_{1/2} \left( \frac{q}{\alpha} \right) - C \beta J_{1/2} \left( \frac{q}{\alpha} \right) \right] = 0
\]

(2.29)

Equation (2.29) will be solved numerically.

Case 2

Consider now that

\[
p > 1 \quad \text{so} \quad |1 - p| = p - 1
\]

(2.30)

To determine the stress component \( \sigma_{23} \), the following differential relations for the Bessel functions should be applied (Lebediev, 1957)

\[
\frac{dz^{-\nu} J_{\nu}(z)}{dz} = -z^{-\nu} J_{\nu+1}(z) \quad \frac{dz^{-\nu} Y_{\nu}(z)}{dz} = -z^{-\nu} Y_{\nu+1}(z)
\]

(2.31)

Bearing in mind equations (2.2), (2.17), (2.21) and (2.31), it follows that the stress component \( \sigma_{23} \) is expressed in the form

\[
\sigma_{23}(x_1, x_2, t) = -q \mu_0 (1 + \alpha x_2)^{1/2} \left[ A_1 J_{1/2} \left( q \left( \frac{1}{\alpha} + x_2 \right) \right) + A_2 Y_{1/2} \left( q \left( \frac{1}{\alpha} + x_2 \right) \right) \right] e^{i k (x_1 - c t)}
\]

(2.32)

where \( 0 < x_2 < H \).
From boundary conditions (2.14) and (2.15) and equations (2.32), (2.17), (2.23), (2.12), (2.21), the following linear algebraic equations for the unknowns \( A_1, A_2, A_3 \) are obtained

\[
A_1 J_{\frac{a}{2}} \left( \frac{q}{\alpha} (1 + \alpha H) \right) + A_2 Y_{\frac{a}{2}} \left( \frac{q}{\alpha} (1 + \alpha H) \right) = 0
\]

\[
A_1 J_{\frac{a}{2}} \left( \frac{q}{\alpha} \right) + A_2 Y_{\frac{a}{2}} \left( \frac{q}{\alpha} \right) = A_3
\]

\[- \mu q \left[ A_1 J_{\frac{a}{2}} \left( \frac{q}{\alpha} \right) + A_2 Y_{\frac{a}{2}} \left( \frac{q}{\alpha} \right) \right] = C \beta A_3 \tag{2.33}
\]

Eliminating \( A_3 \) from the system of equations (2.33), it follows that

\[
A_1 J_{\frac{a}{2}} \left( \frac{q}{\alpha} (1 + \alpha H) \right) + A_2 Y_{\frac{a}{2}} \left( \frac{q}{\alpha} (1 + \alpha H) \right) = 0
\]

\[
A_1 \left[ \mu q J_{\frac{a}{2}} \left( \frac{q}{\alpha} \right) \right] + A_2 \left[ \mu q Y_{\frac{a}{2}} \left( \frac{q}{\alpha} \right) \right] + C \beta J_{\frac{a}{2}} \left( \frac{q}{\alpha} \right) = 0 \tag{2.34}
\]

The system of algebraic equations (2.34) has non-zero solutions under the following condition

\[
J_{\frac{a}{2}} \left( \frac{q}{\alpha} (1 + \alpha H) \right) \left[ \mu q Y_{\frac{a}{2}} \left( \frac{q}{\alpha} \right) \right] + C \beta J_{\frac{a}{2}} \left( \frac{q}{\alpha} \right) = 0 \tag{2.35}
\]

Equation (2.35) will be solved numerically.

### 3. Numerical results

Equations (2.29) and (2.35) will be solved numerically applying the bisection method. For this aim, the following notations are introduced

\[
\psi = \frac{c^2}{c_0^2} \quad \hat{C} = \frac{C \beta}{\rho_0} \tag{3.1}
\]

**Case 1**

For \( p \leq 1 \) from (2.28) and (3.1), it follows that

\[
J_{\frac{a-1}{2}} \left( \frac{k}{\alpha} \right) \sqrt{\psi - 1} (1 + \alpha H) \left| k \sqrt{\psi - 1} Y_{\frac{a-1}{2}} \left( \frac{k}{\alpha} \sqrt{\psi - 1} \right) - \hat{C} Y_{\frac{a-1}{2}} \left( \frac{k}{\alpha} \sqrt{\psi - 1} \right) \right| - Y_{\frac{a-1}{2}} \left( \frac{k}{\alpha} \sqrt{\psi - 1} (1 + \alpha H) \right) \left| k \sqrt{\psi - 1} J_{\frac{a-1}{2}} \left( \frac{k}{\alpha} \sqrt{\psi - 1} \right) - \hat{C} J_{\frac{a-1}{2}} \left( \frac{k}{\alpha} \sqrt{\psi - 1} \right) \right| = 0 \tag{3.2}
\]

**Case 2**

For \( p > 1 \) from (3.1) and (2.35), it follows that

\[
J_{\frac{a+1}{2}} \left( \frac{k}{\alpha} \sqrt{\psi - 1} (1 + \alpha H) \right) \left| k \sqrt{\psi - 1} Y_{\frac{a+1}{2}} \left( \frac{k}{\alpha} \sqrt{\psi - 1} \right) + \hat{C} Y_{\frac{a+1}{2}} \left( \frac{k}{\alpha} \sqrt{\psi - 1} \right) \right| - Y_{\frac{a+1}{2}} \left( \frac{k}{\alpha} \sqrt{\psi - 1} (1 + \alpha H) \right) \left| k \sqrt{\psi - 1} J_{\frac{a+1}{2}} \left( \frac{k}{\alpha} \sqrt{\psi - 1} \right) + \hat{C} J_{\frac{a+1}{2}} \left( \frac{k}{\alpha} \sqrt{\psi - 1} \right) \right| = 0 \tag{3.3}
\]

The obtained numerical results for the dimensionless ratio \( \psi = c^2/c_0^2 \) are presented in the form of figures. Figure 2a presents the ratio \( \psi \) as a function of the parameter \( p \) for three cases of \( k H = 1, 2, 4 \), parameters \( \eta = 0.5, \alpha = 0.05 \) and ratios \( \mu_1/\mu_2 = 4, \mu_1/\mu_0 = 2, \rho_1/\rho_0 = 2 \). For \( \alpha = 0.05 \) and a small values of \( H \) being the thickness of the FGM layer it follows form equation
(2.1) that the coating layer is almost homogeneous for all values of \( p \). For this reason, the values of the ratio \( \psi \) are almost constant. A different case is presented in Fig. 2b, where the same values of the parameters as in Fig. 2a are taken into account without the parameter \( \alpha = 0.5 \). A weak influence of the nonhomogeneity of the coating layer on the wave speed \( \psi = c_2^2/c_0^2 \) can be noticed.

Figure 3a presents the distributions of \( \psi \) as functions of \( kH \) for \( \eta = 0.5, \mu_1/\mu_0 = 2, \rho_1/\rho_0 = 2, \alpha = 0.05, p = 0.5 \) and three cases of values of the ratios: 1. \( \mu_1/\mu_2 = 4 \), 2. \( \mu_1/\mu_2 = 6 \), 3. \( \mu_1/\mu_2 = 8 \). This figure shows that the influence of different features of the sub-layers being components of the considered foundation on the wave speed \( \psi = c_2^2/c_0^2 \) is rather small.

The distributions of \( \psi \) as a function of the ratio \( \mu_1/\mu_2 = \rho_1/\rho_2 \) for four cases of values \( p = 0, 0.5, 1, 2 \) and \( \eta = 0.5, \mu_1/\mu_0 = 2, \rho_1/\rho_0 = 2, \alpha = 0.05, kH = 1 \) are presented in Fig. 3b. The curve numbered by 1 (Fig. 3b) shows the dependence of the ratio \( \psi \) for \( p = 0 \), so it is the homogenous coating layer and the periodically layered foundation. It can be observed that values of \( \psi \) decrease together with an increase in the parameter \( p \).

Figure 3a shows the distributions of the ratio \( \psi = c_2^2/c_0^2 \) as a function of \( kH \) for 1. \( \mu_1/\mu_2 = 4 \), 2. \( \mu_1/\mu_2 = 6 \), 3. \( \mu_1/\mu_2 = 8 \); (b) as a function of \( \mu_1/\mu_2 \)

Figure 4a shows the distributions of the ratio \( \psi \) as a function of \( \mu_1/\mu_0 \) for four cases of the ratio \( \mu_1/\mu_2 = 4, 6, 8, 10 \) and \( \eta = 0.5, \alpha = 0.05, \rho_1/\rho_0 = 2, kH = 1 \). The curve numbered by 1 presents the smallest values of \( \psi \) for all the considered nonhomogeneity of the periodically layered foundation.
The distributions of the ratio $\psi$ as a function of the parameter $\eta$ for $\alpha = 0.05$, $\mu_1/\mu_0 = \rho_1/\rho_0 = \rho_1/\rho_2 = 2$, $p = 0.5$, $kH = 1$ and for cases of the ratio $\mu_1/\mu_2 = 4, 6, 8, 10$ are given in Fig. 4b. It can be observed that for $\eta \to 1$ all curves numbered by 1, 2, 3 and 4 tend to the same point.

![Graphs showing the distributions of $\psi$](image)

Fig. 4. The distributions of $\psi = c^2/c_0^2$: (a) as a function of $\mu_1/\mu_0$, (b) as a function of $\eta$

The limit case $\eta \to 1$ leads to the homogeneous foundation with the shear modulus $\mu_1 = 2\mu_0$, coated by the FGM layer with the shear modulus and the mass density dependent in the form given by (2.1) with respect to the distance from its lower boundary plane. In the case $\eta \to 0$, the half-space being the foundation with the shear modulus $\mu_2$ is obtained. The values of $\mu_2$ depend on the taken into account value of the ratio $\mu_1/\mu_2$. From the assumptions in Fig. 4b, it follows that the curves are adequate for the cases: curve 1 for $\mu_0 = 2\mu_2$, curve 2 for $\mu_0 = 3\mu_2$, curve 3 for $\mu_0 = 4\mu_2$ and curve 4 for $\mu_0 = 5\mu_2$, respectively. From Fig. 4b it can be seen that the values of $\psi$ decrease with an increase in the ratio $\mu_1/\mu_2$ for fixed values of the parameter $\eta$.

4. Final remarks

The problem of SH wave propagation in an elastic nonhomogeneous half-space is considered. The body is assumed to be composed of the FGM layer being a coating and periodically stratified two-layer half-space. The investigations are limited to the anti-plane shear harmonic waves in the nonhomogeneous body on the assumption that the boundary surface is free of loadings.

The main aim is to determine the wave speed by using the wave number and the mechanical properties of the components of the half-space. The numerical results present the wave speed in the dimensionless form. The obtained figures show the influence of the nonhomogeneity of the coating layer as well as the nonhomogeneity of the foundation on the wave speed. The assumptions of $p = 0$, $\mu_1 = \mu_2 = \mu_0$, $\rho_1 = \rho_2 = \rho_0$ lead to Love’s wave propagation in the homogenous half-space coated by the homogeneous layer well-known in the literature (see for example Achenbach, 1973; Nowacki, 1970), which is shown in Appendix.

A. Appendix

Taking into account

$$p = 0 \quad \mu_1 = \mu_2 \quad \rho_1 = \rho_2$$  \hspace{1cm} (A.1)
and using equation (2.22), (2.23), (2.9) and (2.13), it follows that

\[ C = \mu_1 \quad q = k \sqrt{\frac{c^2}{c_0^2} - 1} \quad \beta = k \sqrt{1 - \frac{c^2}{c_1^2}} \quad c_1^2 = \frac{\mu_1}{\rho_1} \quad c_0 < c < c_1 \]  

(A.2)

Substituting (A.1) and (A.2) into (2.29) and using following relations (Lebiediev, 1957)

\[
\begin{align*}
J_{\frac{1}{2}}(z) &= \sqrt{\frac{2}{\pi z}} \sin z \\
J_{-\frac{1}{2}}(z) &= \sqrt{\frac{2}{\pi z}} \cos z \\
Y_{\frac{1}{2}}(z) &= -J_{-\frac{1}{2}}(z) \\
Y_{-\frac{1}{2}}(z) &= J_{\frac{1}{2}}(z)
\end{align*}
\]  

(A.3)

we obtain

\[
\mu_0 q \left[ \sin \frac{q}{\alpha} \cos \left( q \left( \frac{1}{\alpha} + H \right) \right) - \cos \frac{q}{\alpha} \sin \left( q \left( \frac{1}{\alpha} + H \right) \right) \right] \\
+ \mu_1 \beta \left[ \cos \frac{q}{\alpha} \cos \left( q \left( \frac{1}{\alpha} + H \right) \right) \sin \frac{q}{\alpha} \sin \left( q \left( \frac{1}{\alpha} + H \right) \right) \right] = 0
\]  

(A.4)

From equation (A.4), it follows that

\[ \mu_1 \beta = \mu_0 q \tan(qH) \]  

(A.5)

Equation (A.5) agrees with the characteristic equation for the case of Love’s wave presented in the monograph by Nowacki (1970) (p. 612, eq. (13)).
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